# ORANGE LINE

Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment

Final Presentation to MBTA







## **Presentation Outline**

- Recap goals & objectives
- Brief overview of methodology
- Data gathering
- Exposure
- Vulnerability Assessment Results 2030 and 2070
- Key Findings
- Adaptation Strategies
- Recommendation & Next Steps
- Q&A

## **Goals and Objectives**

- Advance and document the MBTA's understanding of its • climate vulnerabilities.
- Evaluate the anticipated **near- and long-term vulnerability of** • the Orange Line system to the climate hazards of coastal flooding and sea level rise, extreme precipitation, extreme heat, wind, and winter weather.
- Develop a standard climate change vulnerability assessment methodology, which will allow the MBTA to conduct comparable assessments for all of its assets and infrastructure
- **Integrate resilience considerations** into the asset management and capital planning decisions
- Provide representative climate adaptation strategies and additional detailed studies for prioritized most vulnerable Orange Line system assets.



### **MBTA Orange Line**



### MALDEN CENTER

**R**T WELLINGTON

T ASSEMBLY

SULLIVAN SQUARE

COMMUNITY **T** COLLEGE

Charles Rive

NORTH STATION T) HAYMARKET **T** STATE

DOWNTOWN CROSSING

CHINATOWN

TUFTS MEDICAL CENTER

> 0 0 2750 55 11

9 65

## **Key Deliverables**

- Vulnerability Assessment Tool, which can: ۲
  - identify major climate vulnerabilities •
  - produce Vulnerability Scores for project planning & asset management and maintenance •
  - assess resilience benefits of potential projects •
  - be customized by adjusting weightings of individual climate stressors or vulnerability scores ٠
  - be updated as projects are completed •
- **Vulnerability Assessment Report**, including Summary Recommendations of Priority Projects and ۲ **Adaptation Strategies**
- **GIS file of Linear References Assets**, for identification of vulnerable assets •
- **Flood Screening Memorandum + 2D Flood Modeling at 3 locations**, to identify areas of potential • additional precipitation flood hazards
- **Vulnerability Assessment Presentation**, for communicating findings

### **Process Overview**

The Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Vulnerability Assessment Scoring Tool (VAST) was used and **adapted** to align with the MBTA's goals and operations.



### **ADAPTIVE CAPACITY**

## The system's ability to cope with climate impacts

## **Data Gathering**

### **Data Collection**

- MBTA Plans, drawings, and background documents
- Site walks and interviews •
- MBTA Asset Management Inventory, Severe Weather • Plan, Snow and Ice Operations Plan, Rail Transit Manual, and Ventilation Report

### **Data Analysis**

- Assets linear-referenced in GIS
- Sorted by category and type ullet
- Evaluated based on criticality ullet
- Elevations and critical details documented •

### **42 Assets Selected for Assessment**

Stations	Maintenance Yards	Guideway Sections						
orest Hills	Storage Track to Forest Hills**	Forest Hills - Green St						
Freen Street	Wellington Yard	Green St - Stony Brook						
tony Brook	Oak Grove to Northbound Stor- age Track***	Stony Brook - Jackson Sq						
ackson Square		Jackson Sq - Roxbury Crossing						
oxbury Crossing		Roxbury Crossing - Ruggles						
uggles		Ruggles - Mass Ave						
lassachusetts Avenue		Mass Ave - Back Bay						
ack Bay		Back Bay - Tufts Medical Center						
ufts Medical Center		Tufts - Chinatown						
chinatown		Chinatown - DTX						
owntown Crossing		DTX - State						
itate		State - Haymarket						
laymarket		Haymarket - North Station						
lorth Station		North Station - Community Col- lege + Test Track*						
community College		Community College - Sullivan Sq + Test Track*						
ullivan Square		Sullivan Sq - Assembly + Test Track*						
ssembly		Assembly - Wellington + Test Track*						
Vellington		Wellington - Malden Center + Test Track*						
lalden Center		Malden Center - Oak Grove						
ak Grove								
Scores are based on revenue track. <sup>-</sup> egments where test tracks run parall	Test track was not included as part of t el that were included and, thus, would	he scoring, but there are guideway likely have similar scores.						
*Forest Hills storage can accommod old two 6-car trains for daily pull-out	ate 48 cars and consists of 4 tracks be /operations.	low ground, where each track can						
** Wellington Yard can store 72 cars ains are preferable.	* Wellington Yard can store 72 cars and consists of 10 tracks, where each track can hold 8-car trains, but 6-car							

## **Data Gathering**

### **Station Site Visits**





### Interviews with MBTA Staff

## 



Winim St

Attenaeum Sy Man Bt

Charles River



Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment

OL

### **Assets Linear Referenced in GIS**



### **Data Gathering – Critical Systems Data by Asset Typology**

Sta	ations	Mainter	nance Yards	Guid	eway
System Type	Components Included in Assessment	System Type	Components Included in Assessment	System Type	Compo Incluc Asses
HVAC	Chillers, cooling towers, AHUs, boilers	Car House	Building structure & equipment	Bridge/Viaduct	Substru Superst
Electrical (Site)	Transformer	Signal Tower	Building structure & equipment	Tunnel S	Stru <mark>cture</mark>
Conveyance	Escalator/ elevator electrical equipment and controls	Switch	es & switch eaters	Tunnel Mechanical - Pump Rooms	Pump
Passenger Areas	Platforms & station entrance/lobby			Tunnel Mechanical - Ventilation	Vent s Ventil far
Fire	Sprinkler	Tracks	& Roadbed	Switches & s	witch hea
Protection	system, tire			Cate	nary
(Building)	unit			Track & F	Roadbed



nents ed in ment	
ic <mark>tur</mark> e, ructure	
ooms	
nafts, ation Is	
ters	

## **Historic Vulnerabilities**

Table 4. Historic Climate Vulnerabilities and Impacts Reported by MBTA Staff

Asset Location		<b>…</b>		***	J.
Open-Air Stations					94 E.
Forest Hills Station			8		ē
Ruggles Station					
Ruggles Underpass				*	÷
Back Bay Station					e
Tufts Medical Center Station					21.
Chinatown Station					
Downtown Crossing Station					
North Station					50
Community College Station					5
Sullivan Square Station	3 8				
Assembly Station			*		4
Wellington Yard Signal Tower					4
Wellington Yard Carhouse Basement		3			
Oak Grove Station					

## Assessment Results – 2030

### Summary 2030 results map and table of Highly Vulnerable Assets

<u>Highly Vulnerable Assets: 2030 Vulnerability Scores ≥ 2.5</u>

Vulnerability = Exposure + Sensitivity + Adaptive Capacity

Asset Description			203	0 Vuln	erabili	ty Sco	res	
No.	Name	Туре	Composite	ſ			ရို	***
1	Wellington Yard	Yard	2.9	2.5	3.0	2.3	3.2	3.2
2	Assembly - Wellington	Guideway	2.8	2.5	2.1	3.1	3.0	3.1
3	Assembly Station	Station	2.7	2.3	2.1	2.8	3.1	3.1
4	Wellington – Malden	Guideway	2.6	2.2	3.0	2.7	2.2	3.0
5	Community College – Sullivan Sq.	Guideway	2.6	2.2	2.9	2.9	2.2	2.9
6	Sullivan Sq. – Assembly	Guideway	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.5	3.2
7	North Station – Community College	Guideway	2.5	2.2	3.1	3.1	1.9	2.3
8	Back Bay – Tufts Medical Center	Guideway	2.5	2.4	3.2	2.2	2.4	2.4
9	Wellington Station	Station	2.5	2.1	2.6	2.0	2.8	2.9
10	Sullivan Square Station	Station	2.5	2.3	2.1	3.1	2.3	2.4



OAK GROVE

### MALDEN CENTER

WELLINGTON

ASSEMBLY

SULLIVAN SQUARE

COMMUNITY COLLEGE

NORTH STATION T HAYMARKET STATE DOWNTOWN CROSSING CHINATOWN

1.8 11 2.4

**TUFTS MEDICAL** CENTER

1.2

0 0.3 0.6

BACK BAY

## Assessment Results – 2070

### Summary 2070 results map and table of Highly Vulnerable Assets

### <u>Highly Vulnerable Assets: 2070 Vulnerability Scores ≥ 2.5</u>

•	Vulnerability	/ = Ex	posure +	Sensitivity	y + Ada	ptive C	apacity	/
---	---------------	--------	----------	-------------	---------	---------	---------	---

Asset Description			207	0 Vuln	erabili	ty Sco	res	
No.	Name	Туре	Composite	J			ရို	
1	Wellington Yard	Yard	3.2	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2
2	Assembly - Wellington	Guideway	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.1
3	Sullivan Sq. – Assembly	Guideway	3.0	2.9	3.2	3.2	2.5	3.2
4	Roxbury Crossing – Ruggles	Guideway	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0
5	Ruggles – Mass Ave	Guideway	2.9	2.9	3.3	2.9	2.5	3.2
6	Wellington Station	Station	2.8	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.9
7	Assembly Station	Station	2.8	2.6	2.1	3.1	3.1	3.1
8	Wellington – Malden Center	Station	2.8	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.2	3.0
9	Sullivan Square Station	Station	2.7	2.6	3.1	3.1	2.3	2.4
10	Back Bay – Tufts Medical Center	Guideway	2.7	2.7	3.2	2.9	2.4	2.4
11	Community College – Sullivan Sq.	Guideway	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.2	2.9
12	Massachusetts Ave Station	Station	2.7	2.6	3.1	2.8	2.4	2.4
13	Haymarket Station	Station	2.6	2.6	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0
14	State Street Station	Station	2.6	2.6	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0
15	North Station – Community College	Guideway	2.6	2.6	3.1	3.1	1.9	2.3
16	Ruggles Station	Station	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.4
17	Chinatown Station	Station	2.6	2.6	3.0	3.3	1.9	2.0
18	Jackson Sq. – Roxbury Crossing	Guideway	2.5	3.1	3.3	0.0	2.8	3.5
19	Community College Station	Station	2.5	2.6	2.0	3.0	2.3	2.4
20	Tufts Medical Center Station	Station	2.5	2.6	2.6	3.3	1.9	2.0



Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment



# T OAK GROVE MALDEN CENTER



ASSEMBLY

SULLIVAN SQUARE

COMMUNITY COLLEGE

NORTH STATION HAYMARKET T STATE T DOWNTOWN CROSSING CHINATOWN

1.810 2.4

**TUFTS MEDICAL** CENTER

1.2

0 0.3 0.6

BACK BAY

### **Key Findings – Most Vulnerable Assets by 2030**

Asset Description		200	30 Vulr	nerabili	ty Scor	es	
Name	Туре	Composite	8			್ಲಿ	×.
Wellington Yard	Yard	2.9 <sup>1</sup>	2.5	3.0	2.3	3.2 <sup>2</sup>	3.2
Assembly - Wellington + Test Track	Guideway	2.8 <sup>1</sup>	2.5	2.1	3.1	3.0	3.1
Assembly Station	Station	2.7 <sup>1</sup>	2.3	2.1	2.8	3.1	3.1
Mass Ave – Back Bay	Guideway	1.7	2.6	3.6 <sup>2</sup>	0.0	0.0	2.3
Green St – Stony Brook	Guideway	2.3	2.8	2.6	0.0	2.8	3.5 <sup>2</sup>
Jackson Sq – Roxbury Crossing	Guideway	2.3	2.8	2.6	0.0	2.8	3.5 <sup>2</sup>
Sullivan Square Station	Station	2.5	2.3	2.1	3.1 <sup>2</sup>	2.3	2.4
Roxbury Crossing - Ruggles	Guideway	2.3	2.9 <sup>2</sup>	3.0	0.0	2.9	3.0
North Station	Station	2.3	2.0	3.1 <sup>3</sup>	2.8	1.7	1.7
Notes:		ta Mulaorabilita			2 d	5 - B	3

Asset has one of the top 3 highest composite Vulnerability scores

2. Asset has the highest Vulnerability score for a single hazard

3. Asset has the highest Exposure + Sensitivity (Impact) score out of the hazards studied



## Key Findings – Most Vulnerable Assets by 2070

Asset Description	200	30 Vulr	nerabili	ty Scor	es		
Name	Туре	Composite	J	-		٩ <mark>٢</mark>	×.
Wellington Yard	Yard	3.2 <sup>1</sup>	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.2 <sup>2</sup>	3.2
Assembly - Wellington + Test Track	Guideway	3.0 <sup>1</sup>	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.1
Sullivan – Assembly + Test Track	Guideway	3.0 <sup>1</sup>	2.9	3.2	3.2	2.5	3.2
Mass Ave – Back Bay	Guideway	2.4	3.0	3.6 <sup>2</sup>	3.3	0.0	2.3
Green St – Stony Brook	Guideway	2.4	3.1	2.6	0.0	2.8	3.5 <sup>2</sup>
Jackson Sq – Roxbury Crossing	Guideway	2.5	3.1	3.3	0.0	2.8	3.5 <sup>2</sup>
State - Haymarket	Guideway	2.3	2.8	3.4	3.4 <sup>2</sup>	0.0	2.1
Roxbury Crossing - Ruggles	Guideway	3.0	3.2 <sup>2</sup>	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0
North Station	Station	2.4	2.3	3.1 <sup>3</sup>	3.1	1.7	1.7
Notes: 1. Asset has one of the top 3 high 2. Asset has the highest Vulnerable 3. Asset has the highest Exposure	est composit ility score for	te Vulnerability a single hazaı / (Impact) sco	/ score	s of the l	hazard	s studie	bd



## **Key Findings – Trends**

- Sea Level Rise / Storm Surge and Precipitation flooding are responsible for the greatest increases in vulnerability scores from 2030 to 2070
- Assets with greatest change in Vulnerability from 2030 to 2070 are:
  - Roxbury Crossing Ruggles guideway to Mass Ave Back Bay quideway,
  - from Tufts Chinatown guideway to Chinatown Station,
  - the DTX State Street guideway,
  - and Wellington Yard to Malden Center guideway.
  - These changes are generally due to new areas and/or the expansion of existing areas being exposed to Sea Level Rise / Storm Surge Flooding
- Vulnerability assessment scoring for heat is based on a uniform increase in heat Exposure from 2030 to 2070 for each asset to reflect overall more significant impacts associated with rising temperatures and more extreme heat days by 2070.





### **General Locations of Increased and High Vulnerabilities**

### **Climate Impacts to OL assets – Guideways**

Additional Climate Impacts to Guideways	l	<b></b>	<u> ၂</u> ၆	
Extreme weather may cause power supply disruptions for emergency fans				
Snow and ice may build on <b>rails and switches</b> , impacting operations				
Flooding may damage <b>emergency fans and signal</b> <b>bungalows</b> or washout <b>rail ballasts</b>				
Flooding may enter the MBTA system through ventilation shafts				
Extreme precipitation may surcharge existing stormwater infrastructure and overflow to MBTA system				
Wind and associated debris from wind events may damage <b>overhead utility lines and signal bungalows</b> , overturn signal bungalows, or cause utility lines, trees, and debris to fall on guideway				
Extreme heat may cause stress on the <b>running rails</b> and lead to buckling				
Extreme heat may cause the HVAC system in signal bungalows to fail and may damage equipment				
Extreme Heat may harm trees not suited for increased heat stress, resulting in trees falling on MBTA tracks.				

17

### **Climate Impacts to OL assets – Maintenance Yards**

Additional Climate Impacts to Maintenance Yards	l	<b></b>	ဂျို	
Extreme weather may cause power supply disruptions for utility rooms				
Flooding may damage utility room equipment, trailers, revenue cars or maintenance equipment on storage tracks, or washout rail ballast				
Flooding may prevent MBTA Staff access to or leaving site				
Extreme weather may limit MBTA Staff ability to access and work in utility rooms and trailers				
Wind and associated debris from wind events may damage trailers or revenue cars and maintenance equipment on storage tracks				
Extreme heat may cause stress on the running rails of the storage track and lead to buckling				
Extreme heat may cause the HVAC system in trailers to fail and may damage equipment				

## **MBTA Staff & Passenger Impacts**

MBTA Staff and Passenger Impacts	ſ	<b></b>	-
Slippery surfaces.			
Reduced visibility.			
Hypothermia or cold temperature exposure.			
Heat exhaustion or extreme heat temperature exposure.			
Reduced ridership.			
Difficulty with access/walking.			
Dangerous and potentially harmful conditions, particularly for elderly or vulnerable populations.			





## **Staff & Passenger Recommendations**

	Recommendations	
Climate Hazard	MBTA Staff	Passe
General	<ul> <li>Review historic impacts to MBTA staff and p</li> <li>Develop Extreme Weather Preparedness, Re</li> </ul>	assengers to improve mitiga esponse, and Recovery Plan
J	<ul> <li>Review and update health and safety policies for heat stress in field work.</li> <li>Assess the need for improved shading, ventilation, cooling systems, and hydration facilities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assess the need for imp cooling systems, and hy</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Identify evacuation areas.</li> <li>Develop a flood forecasting and monitoring system.</li> <li>Assess adequacy of staff training for extreme weather events.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assess emergency com egress systems, and she</li> </ul>
ဂျ	<ul> <li>Assess emergency communication systems</li> <li>Develop a program for verifying assets are s</li> <li>Identify wind thresholds resulting in unsafe of</li> </ul>	, egress systems, and shelte secured ahead of storm even conditions for operating door
	Regularly update MBTA's Snow and Ice Ope	erations Plan and maintenand

### engers

ation and response.

oroved shading, ventilation, dration facilities

munication systems, elter-in-place locations.

er-in-place locations. hts. rs & develop safety plan

ce schedules.

20

### **Near Term Recommended Adaptation Strategies**

	Stations
J	
<b>.</b>	<ul> <li>Increase drainage system capacity</li> <li>Coordinate with Medford to divert runoff to Malden river</li> <li>Develop flood warning and communications system</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>Assess feasibility of SLR/SS flood barrier system</li> <li>Develop flood warning and communications system</li> </ul>
ရို	
*	<ul> <li>Collect and monitor winter storm response data; update Snow and Ice Plan as needed</li> </ul>

### Guideways

1	Refer to operational and staff strategies,
•	<ul> <li>Increase drainage capacity</li> <li>Assess utility room flood vulnerability, backup power supply, and extreme weather event access restrictions</li> <li>Identify flood adaptation strategies for protecting critical utility room equipment</li> <li>Implement Flood Event Parking Plan for MBTA staff</li> <li>Develop flood warning &amp; communications system</li> </ul>
•	
- <b>1</b> 0	<ul> <li>Assess utility room backup power supply and extreme weather event access restrictions</li> <li>Assess structural design of poles, foundations, &amp; structures</li> <li>Develop design guidelines for poles, foundations, &amp; structures</li> <li>Implement plan for securing site furniture and equipment</li> <li>Develop guidelines for vegetation along Station</li> </ul>
*	<ul> <li>Refer to operational and staff strategies,</li> </ul>



### Yards

0	
	<ul> <li>Increase drainage system capacity</li> <li>Assess utility room flood vulnerability, backup power supply, and extreme weather event access restrictions</li> <li>Identify flood adaptation strategies for protecting critical utility room equipment</li> <li>Implement Flood Event Parking Plan for MBTA Staff</li> <li>Elevate tracks &amp; trailers to address flood hazards that cannot be diverted away from the MBTA corridor, or develop contingency plan for extreme flood events</li> <li>Develop flood warning &amp; communications system</li> </ul>
4	
ష్	<ul> <li>Assess utility room backup power supply and extreme weather event access restrictions</li> <li>Assess structural design of poles, foundations, &amp; structures</li> <li>Develop design guidelines for poles, foundations, &amp; structures</li> <li>Implement plan for securing site furniture and equipment</li> <li>Develop guidelines for vegetation in accerdination with Tauras</li> </ul>

### **Longer Term Recommended Adaptation Strategies**

### Stations

l	<ul> <li>Refer to operational and staff strategies,</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Elevate station tracks &amp; platform to address flood hazards that cannot be diverted away from the MBTA corridor, or develop contingency plan for extreme flood events</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>Assess feasibility of SLR/SS flood barrier system</li> <li>Develop flood warning &amp; communications system</li> <li>Elevate station tracks &amp; platform to address flood hazards that cannot be diverted away from the MBTA corridor, or develop contingency plan for extreme flood events</li> </ul>
바	
*	<ul> <li>Refer to operational and staff strategies,</li> </ul>

### Guideways

1	Refer to operational and staff strategies,
÷	<ul> <li>Increase drainage capacity</li> <li>Assess utility room flood vulnerability, backup power supply, and extreme weather event access restrictions</li> <li>Identify flood adaptation strategies for protecting critical utility room equipment</li> <li>Implement Flood Event Parking Plan for MBTA staff</li> <li>Develop flood warning &amp; communications system</li> </ul>
Þ	
ရို	<ul> <li>Assess utility room backup power supply and extreme weather event access restrictions</li> <li>Assess structural design of poles, foundations, &amp; structures</li> <li>Develop design guidelines for poles, foundations, &amp; structures</li> <li>Implement plan for securing site furniture and equipment</li> <li>Develop guidelines for vegetation along Station</li> </ul>
*	Refer to operational and staff strategies,



Assess feasibility of SLR/SS flood barrier
Assess feasibility of SLR/SS flood barrier system Develop flood warning & communications system Elevate tracks & trailers to address flood hazards that cannot be diverted away rom the MBTA corridor, or develop contingency plan for extreme flood events

## **Use the Assessment Findings for Longer Term Planning**





Incorporate the findings of this vulnerability assessment into MBTA's existing tools and planning procedures.

Continue to refine MBTA's understanding and response to climate vulnerabilities. -- 2

## **Use the Assessment Findings for Longer Term Planning**

### **Incorporate Findings**

- A. Integrate 2030 Composite Vulnerability Scores into MBTA's Asset Management decision making tools, (e.g. Trapeze)
- B. Develop instructions for MBTA Staff to review and incorporate findings and recommendations of this assessment into MBTA's new potential projects, as well as to assess benefits associated with reduced extreme weather impacts on operating costs and revenues.
- C. Revisit vulnerability assessments as new climate change projections and climate modeling data becomes available.

### **Refine Plans**

- A. Evaluate MBTA's Flood Resiliency Design Directive and Design Guidelines with the Climate Resilience Design Standards Tool and guidelines that have been developed by the State's Resilient Massachusetts Action Team (RMAT) project to compare how climate change projections can be integrated into design standards and practices.
- B. Revisit existing MBTA plans with a resiliency lens to understand how and when to best integrate resiliency.
- C. Expand on the ongoing vulnerability assessments by identifying potential cascading failure events
- D. Develop a change management process for tracking improvements to physical assets, and triggering updates to these vulnerability assessment results.
- E. Develop a checklist for use during State of Good Repair (SOGR) assessments to obtain asset-specific information for use in future updates to the vulnerability assessment scores.

## **Use the Assessment Findings for Longer Term Planning**

### Improve Capabilities

- A. Add to existing extreme weather planning by using techniques, such as:
  - Incorporate real time data gathering (e.g. storm monitoring, groundwater and flood sensors, wet well and moisture sensors, weather forecasting, etc.) into preparedness and response actions, including communications alert systems across the MBTA system, including Orange Line.
  - Collect and monitor feedback from MBTA staff ulletfollowing climate resilience preparedness training and following extreme weather event response activities.
- B. Address MBTA staff and passenger impacts by assessing the needs for improved shading, ventilation, cooling systems, and hydration facilities in areas used by staff and passengers.
- Review adequacy of MBTA's insurance policies against C. potential vulnerabilities in the future.

### Coordinate

- A. Continue to coordinate with regional partners on stakeholders and communities.
- B. Share and compare lessons learned with other lessons learned.

climate resiliency strategies with neighboring

Examples of partners include: Resilient Mystic Collaborative, Charles River Climate Compact, Metro Mayors Climate Preparedness Task Force

transit agencies to identify and share successful climate resiliency strategies implemented and

## **THANK YOU**

## **Questions?**

Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment

0

